Mutation Frequency Dynamics in HPRT Locus in Culture-Adapted Human Embryonic Stem Cells and Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Correspond to Their Differentiated Counterparts
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The genomic destabilization associated with the adaptation of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) to culture conditions or the reprogramming of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) increases the risk of tumorigenesis upon the clinical use of these cells and decreases their value as a model for cell biology studies. Base excision repair (BER), a major genomic integrity maintenance mechanism, has been shown to fail during hESC adaptation. Here, we show that the increase in the mutation frequency (MF) caused by the inhibition of BER was similar to that caused by the hESC adaptation process. The increase in MF reflected the failure of DNA maintenance mechanisms and the subsequent increase in MF rather than being due solely to the accumulation of mutants over a prolonged period, as was previously suggested. The increase in the ionizing-radiation-induced MF in adapted hESCs exceeded the induced MF in nonadapted hESCs and differentiated cells. Unlike hESCs, the overall DNA maintenance in iPSCs, which was reflected by the MF, was similar to that in differentiated cells regardless of the time spent in culture and despite the upregulation of several genes responsible for genome maintenance during the reprogramming process. Taken together, our results suggest that the changes in BER activity during the long-term cultivation of hESCs increase the mutagenic burden, whereas neither reprogramming nor long-term propagation in culture changes the MF in iPSCs.

Introduction

Pluripotent stem cells appear to be the source of cells for cell replacement therapy for future generations. The potential use of pluripotent stem cells depends on our ability to expand these cells in vitro for long periods. Unfortunately, human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) undergo adaptation to culture conditions, a process that includes growth acceleration and chromosomal alterations [1–8], some of which resemble tumorigenic events [4,5,9–11]. The reported chromosomal mutations appear to cluster in multiple genes associated with a growth advantage, thus resembling cancer-related mutations in genes such as Bcl2 [8]. These data, together with reports that show increases in loss of heterozygosity (LOH) [12] or copy number variations (CNVs) [13] in late-passage hESCs, demonstrate that the dramatic changes that occur during prolonged cultivation are most likely a consequence of a series of individual mutations [14].

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) have also been reported to display an elevated level of mutations. Although a portion of these mutations are inherited from the cells’ previous life, whole-genome sequencing of differentiated cells and the corresponding iPSCs showed that 74% of mutations were acquired during reprogramming [15,16]. Nevertheless, an increase in CNVs has been detected in iPSCs [13], and chromosomal aberrations similar to those in adapted hESCs have been identified in iPSCs. Although no dramatic changes have been detected during the “prolonged” cultivation of iPSCs [17], no comparable long-term study of hESCs has been published.
Unfortunately, an increased mutation burden during in vitro cultivation or reprogramming in hESCs and iPSCs, respectively, not only affects the proliferative capacity of the cells but also threatens their terminal use. Changes in hESCs at the genomic level, such as gains of chromosomes 12, 17, and X, resemble germ cell tumors [4,5,10] providing a malignancy model of embryonic carcinoma development [5]. Nevertheless, mutations in certain genes, such as Bcl2, appear to be unique to adapted hESCs [8]. The available data regarding changes in differentiation potential are somewhat contradictory. Some reports have shown a decrease in the ability to differentiate [5,9,10], whereas others have reported no changes in differentiation potential in hESCs with adaptation [18].

Two conceptually distinct approaches are currently used to monitor genomic instability. The first approach analyzes the current state of the genome (using sequencing, LOH or CNV, for example), which is best described by the term “mutation frequency” (MF). In contrast, the second approach monitors the mutation rate (MR) in the current culture in which genetic alterations occur, irrespective of the state of the genome at the beginning of the analysis [19]. Although MR determination is connected to laborious population doubling determination that (1) renders the assay more expensive and laborious and (2) the MR can vary based on the calculation method [20,21]. Due to the lack of experimental information regarding the exact number of cell generations required for mutant selection, only the MF is usually reported [21]. To address the degree of genomic instability (represented by the MF), the aforementioned techniques are employed repeatedly to obtain kinetic information. An alternative approach for the quantification of MF dynamics involves reporter gene-based assays. Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) is a readily usable reporter gene, which has been routinely exploited to assess the MF in lymphoblastoid cells [19]. The MF assay is based on screening colonies using the HPRT gene, which is located on the X chromosome in only one copy per cell. This method, which is based on the selection of mutants, can also be used for MF determination [21]. An HPRT reporter mouse was constructed to facilitate the measurement of the MF and MR in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) derived from the reporter mouse [22]. Although the published spontaneous MFs of mESCs vary from 10^{-8} [22] to 10^{-6} [23], these values are still significantly lower than the MFs of differentiated cells (10^{-4}–10^{-5}) [24,25]. Although this model is valuable, it requires a transgenic embryonic stem cell line and is thus not applicable for screening the wide array of available hESC and iPSC lines, particularly for screens of the effects of the activity of DNA repair mechanisms, such as the failure of base excision repair (BER) during hESC adaptation to cultivation conditions or iPSC reprogramming. Thus, we developed an HPRT-based assay that enables the assessment of the MF without prior transgenesis.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and cultivation

The hESC lines CCTL12, CCTL13, and CCTL14 used in this study were derived in Brno [26]. Passages up to 50 were considered early, whereas passages above 100 (for hESCs) or above 50 (for iPSCs) were considered late passages. Late-passage hESCs exhibited the properties of culture-adapted cell lines reviewed in [27], and an example of their typical characteristics is shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 (Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertpub.com/scd). Because there is a positive correlation between the signs of adaptation and the length of cultivation, the terms “late passage” and “adapted to culture” are herein used as synonyms. The iPSC line cl1, obtained from Dr. Majlinda Lako, Institute of Genetic Medicine, Newcastle University (passages 37–80), and the iPSC lines MDM2Se (passage 28) and AM13 (passage 17), derived in our laboratory from human skin biopsies, were used for iPSC analyses.

hESCs and the iPSC lines cl1 and MDM2Se were routinely maintained on a feeder layer of mitotically inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (mEFs) and were propagated under previously described cultivation conditions [28], whereas the iPSC line AM13 was maintained under feeder-free conditions using Matrigel hESC-qualified Matrix (BD Biosciences). All the experiments were performed in feeder-free culture using BD Matrigel hESC-qualified Matrix (BD Biosciences) and the mEF-conditioned medium described in detail in [29]. The human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) line SCRC 1042, obtained from the American Type Culture Collection at passages 15–18, and the human ear fibroblast (HEF) line BR 1112203, obtained from the National Tissue Centre at passage 3, were used as differentiated control cells.

Cellular reprogramming

The iPSC lines MDM2Se and AM13 were created by reprogramming human biopsy cells (adult skin fibroblasts) using the CytoTune™・iPS Reprogramming Kit (A13780-01; Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, with minor modifications. The iPSC line AM13 was reprogrammed under feeder-free conditions using Matrigel hESC-qualified Matrix (BD Biosciences). The derived iPSC lines were characterized using immunocytochemical staining of pluripotency markers (Supplementary Fig. S2A, B). For the experiments, colonies of iPSCs cultivated on feeder were manually passaged, plated as small clumps on Matrigel (BD) in mEF-conditioned medium supplemented with 20 μM ROCK inhibitor Y27632 (Sigma-Aldrich), and incubated for 24 h after plating. The iPSCs were passaged manually for four passages as colonies; subsequently, they were dissociated to single cells using TrypLE (Life Technologies) and were plated as a monolayer.

HPRT assay

Cells were dissociated using TrypLE and plated (at a density of 1 million cells per one 6-cm Petri dish for pluripotent stem cells or per ten 10-cm Petri dishes for differentiated cells) into a medium containing 6-thioguanine (6-TG; Sigma-Aldrich; 8 μg/mL for the entire course of treatment or 2.5 μg/mL for the first 8 days before changing to 8 μg/mL for pluripotent cells or 7 μg/mL for the entire course of treatment for differentiated cells). When assaying the induced MF, cells were irradiated by ionizing radiation (IR: 0.5 Gy/min; 137Cs; 0.5, 1, or 3 Gy) 24 h after the initial plating. The medium was replaced every 1–2 days during a selection period of 3–4 weeks (5–7 weeks for differentiated cells) until clearly bordered colonies were manually counted. The final MFs were calculated as the ratio of the number of mutant colonies to the plating efficiency of cells in the
Flow cytometry analysis

For the cell cycle distribution analysis, a suspension of cells was fixed by 70% ethanol overnight at 4°C. The cells were washed twice in PBS and treated with RNase (Boehringer Ingelheim) at a final concentration of 0.1 mg/mL at 37°C for 30 min. To visualize the DNA, the suspension was incubated with propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich; 1 mg/mL) at RT for 15 min. The cell cycle was analyzed using a Beckman Coulter Cytomics™ FC 500 flow cytometer, and the data were analyzed using FlowJo 7.2.2 software. For the detection of the CD30 level, cells in suspension (0.5·10⁶) were washed twice in PBS and incubated with an anti-CD30 PE MHCD3004 antibody (Invitrogen; 1:20) in a dilution buffer (0.5% BSA and 2 mM EDTA in PBS) for 15 min at RT. HFF cells were used as a negative control. HL60 cells were used as a positive control [31]. The presence of CD30 was analyzed using a BD FACS Canto II flow cytometer, and the data were analyzed using FlowJo 7.2.2 software.

Cell viability assay

Cells were dissociated to single cells using TrypLE recombiant enzyme (Life Technologies), washed twice with PBS, and counted using a Countess™ automated cell counter (Invitrogen).

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Based on the sampling group, the Mann–Whitney U-test was used to evaluate comparisons between two groups. A value of P ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The HPRT assay can be used to evaluate all hESCs, iPSCs, and differentiated cells

Although the HPRT assay has been employed in various cell types, the metabolic nature of selection in 6-TG presents a risk of false-positive and false-negative results when comparing different cell types, such as hESCs, iPSCs, and differentiated cells. Each cell type required a different time for selection and a different concentration of 6-TG. The effective 6-TG concentration was determined as the highest concentration allowing the survival of mutants (Fig. 1A). To determine whether the concentration of 6-TG affected the outcome of the HPRT assay, either due to incomplete selection at low concentrations or due to excessive killing at high concentrations, the assay was performed with two different 6-TG concentrations. The MF of cells cultivated for the entire period in a medium with a constant 6-TG concentration of 8 μg/mL was compared with the MF of cells treated for 8 days with 2.5 μg/mL 6-TG, followed by 8 μg/mL of 6-TG selection. Spontaneous and IR-induced MFs did not significantly differ at low and high concentrations, suggesting that the concentration of 6-TG in the analyzed range did not affect the outcome of the HPRT assay (Fig. 1A, B).

Neither pluripotent cells nor differentiated cell cultures are burdened by preexisting mutants in the HPRT gene, as demonstrated by pretreatment in HAT medium

The outcome of any mutation assay may be affected by preexisting mutants. To minimize the impact of such mutants in the HPRT assay, preexisting mutants were
eliminated by cultivation in a medium containing HAT. The combination of these chemicals reliably kills all clones carrying an inactivating mutation in the HPRT gene [32].

The preselection of hESC lines in a HAT-containing medium prior to the HPRT assay did not significantly alter the MF of hESCs (Fig. 1C), suggesting a low prevalence of HPRT mutants in the population and allowing us to omit the HAT treatment during routine testing. To verify the reliability of 6-TG selection, the toxicity of the HAT medium was tested on mutants selected in a 6-TG selection medium. To avoid the occurrence of suppressor or reverse mutations in the HPRT locus, and thus, the growth of cells with an active HPRT gene, we also treated cells with a combination of HAT and 6-TG medium. No mutant cell growth was observed in HAT the medium or in the HAT and 6-TG combination medium, demonstrating the efficacy of 6-TG selection (Supplementary Fig. S3).

**Only a single HPRT allele is active in hESCs upon 6-TG selection**

The presence of only a single copy of the HPRT gene is essential to obtain reliable mutagenesis data from the HPRT assay. Considering that the HPRT gene is located on the X chromosome, the lack of inactivation of one copy of the X chromosome in female hESCs may impair MF determination in these cells. Thus, we had to ensure that the level of X chromosome inactivation in all the tested cell lines was sufficient to leave only a single active copy of the HPRT gene. The H3K27 trimethylation pattern showed no Barr body formation, and lower XIST mRNA levels were observed in the female CCTL14 and CCTL12 cell lines compared with a female differentiated cell line (Fig. 2B, C). Despite the abovementioned findings, both the H3K27 trimethylation pattern and the XIST mRNA level of the female pluripotent stem cells differed significantly from those of the male CCTL13 line and male fibroblasts (Fig. 2B, C). The inactivated X chromosome in all mutants selected in 6-TG is demonstrated by presence of Barr body (data not shown).

The MFs in two female hESC lines (CCTL12 and CCTL14) and in one male hESC line (CCTL13) were compared (Fig. 2A). The MFs of the hESC lines ranged from 1.73 ± 0.14 × 10⁻⁵ SEM to 4.01 ± 0.76 × 10⁻⁵ SEM, and the induced (3 Gy) MF ranged from 68.53 ± 16.32 × 10⁻⁵ SEM to 81.39 ± 27.32 × 10⁻⁵ SEM. No significant difference was found

---

**FIG. 1.** Neither the concentration of 6-TG nor preexisting mutants in the HPRT locus affects the outcome of the HPRT assay. Panel (A) shows the cytotoxic effect on day 10 of increasing the 6-TG concentration in CCTL14 hESCs, allowing the choice of a suitable concentration for mutant selection. No 6-TG was used in the control sample (Control). Panel (B) confirms that different concentrations of 6-TG did not affect spontaneous or induced MF upon increasing the dose of IR (IR dose) in hESCs. Empty bars show the MF of CCTL14 hESCs cultivated in 8 μg/mL 6-TG throughout entire selection period, whereas gray bars show the MF of cells cultivated first for 8 days at a concentration of 2.5 μg/mL of 6-TG and the remainder of the selection period in 8 μg/mL 6-TG. No mutants in the HPRT locus accumulated during the previous life of the culture were detected in hESCs; the MF of HAT-pretreated CCTL12 hESCs (C; HAT+, gray bar) did not differ significantly from the MF of cells without HAT pretreatment (HAT–, empty bar). Error bars represent the mean values and standard errors of three biological replicates. 6-TG, 6-thioguanine; HAT, hypoxanthine-aminopterin-thymidine; hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; HPRT, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase; IR, ionizing radiation; MF, mutation frequency.
between the spontaneous and induced MFs either between the two female cell lines or between the CCTL13 (male) and CCTL12 (female; \( P = 0.83 \)) lines. These data show that despite a variable level of inactivation in the tested female hESCs, as indicated by varying trimethylation patterns, the X chromosome inactivation in all the female lines was sufficient for the expression of only a single copy of the HPRT gene.

Increased MF is characteristic of culture-adapted hESCs

We measured the characteristics of early and late hESCs to define the groups of nonadapted and adapted hESCs (accelerated proliferation according to Baker et al. [4], cell cycle changes according to Barta et al. [33], and the presence of CD30 according to Harrison et al. and Herszfeld et al. [5,9]). Compared with early passage hESCs, late-passage hESCs grew significantly more rapidly in culture (Supplementary Fig. S2A); accumulated fewer cells in S phase, which corresponds to cell cycle shortening (Supplementary Fig. S2B, C); and exhibited a significantly higher level of CD30 (Supplementary Fig. S2D). These differences indicate an association between the time in culture (passage number) and adaptation to cultivation conditions.

To investigate and analyze genomic instability in culture-adapted hESCs, especially the relation between genomic instability and adaptation, we measured the characteristics of early and late hESCs to define the groups of nonadapted and adapted hESCs (Supplementary Fig. S2A). The spontaneous MF of all three hESC lines ranged from 1.73 ± 0.14 × 10^{-5} to 4.01 ± 0.76 × 10^{-5}, and the induced MF (by 3 Gy IR) ranged from 68.53 ± 16.32 × 10^{-5} to 81.39 ± 27.32 × 10^{-5} (the values are the mean ± SEM, \( n = 3 \); \( * P \leq 0.05, ** P = 0.827, * * P = 0.513, \# P = 0.827 \)). The Xist mRNA level detected using quantitative reverse transcription real-time PCR was 5- to 30-fold higher in female hESC lines (CCTL14 and CCTL12) compared with a male line (CCTL13: B). A female iPSC line (cl1, denoted as iPSC cl1) exhibited a similar Xist mRNA level to female hESCs. Female HEFs and one male HFF were included as controls. No staining of H3K27 trimethylated histones was detected in any tested hESC lines, whereas the characteristic pattern of H3K27 trimethylation was found in the female lines (CCTL12 and CCTL14) after 40 h of 6-TG treatment (C). HEF, human ear fibroblast; HFF, human foreskin fibroblast; iPSCs, induced pluripotent stem cells; SEM, standard error of the mean. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

Table 1. Karyotypes of Selected Tested Passages of Pluripotent Stem Cell Lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Passage range</th>
<th>Karyotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCTL12</td>
<td>20–37</td>
<td>46 XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td>46 XX, der (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTL14</td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>46 XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>216</td>
<td>47 XX der (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>315</td>
<td>47 XX der (12), der (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPSC 11</td>
<td></td>
<td>46 XX der(18)t(2;18)(p13;q23), del(18)(q21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Karyotyping details are discussed in Lund et al. [27] and Dvorak et al. [28]. iPSCs, induced pluripotent stem cells.
instability and selected chromosomal mutations, we measured MFs in early and late-passage hESCs; the former had normal karyotypes, and the latter corresponded to sublines with either altered or normal karyotypes (Table 1). Our HPRT assay results showed that the long-term cultivation of hESCs was accompanied by the failure of genome maintenance mechanisms; hence, both spontaneous and IR-induced MFs were increased. The spontaneous MF in late-passage CCTL14 hESCs was almost one order of magnitude higher (16.57 ± 2.85 × 10^{-5}) than the MF of early passage cells (1.73 ± 0.14 × 10^{-5}; Fig. 3A). Additionally, the induced MF in late-passage cells (IR: 0.5, 1, and 3 Gy; 28.8 ± 6.28 × 10^{-5}, 43.03 ± 7.79 × 10^{-5}, and 260.67 ± 67.3 × 10^{-5}, respectively; Fig. 3A) was significantly higher compared with early passage hESCs (6.21 ± 2.44 × 10^{-5}, 15.06 ± 3.62 × 10^{-5}, and 77.77 ± 11.13 × 10^{-5}, respectively; Fig. 3A). These results were confirmed in an additional late-passage hESC line (CCTL12) that showed a very similar increase in MF (Fig. 3B).

**Inhibition or downregulation of APE1 leads to increased MF in hESCs**

We previously demonstrated a critical role of reduced BER efficiency in the levels of general and reduced APE1 proteins, particularly in the loss of genomic stability in late-passage hESCs. Based on these data, we used (MOX) to inhibit APE1 function in the CCTL13 cell line to simulate the loss of the APE1 protein, which is associated with the long-term cultivation of hESCs. The inhibition of APE1 activity led to an accumulation of mutations, as demonstrated by the MF increase in MOX-treated cells (Fig. 4). The MOX-treated cells displayed a 1.2- to 2-fold higher spontaneous MF than their untreated counterparts (Fig. 4A). Similarly, the 3 Gy-induced MF of MOX-treated hESCs was

**FIG. 3.** Spontaneous and IR-induced MFs of hESCs were significantly higher in late passages compared with early passages. The MFs of the CCTL14 (A) and CCTL12 (B) hESCs were measured after IR treatment (0.5, 1, and 3 Gy), revealing significantly higher spontaneous and induced MFs of late-passage hESCs (gray bars) compared with the MF of early passage hESCs (empty bars). The MF was calculated as the ratio of mutated colonies formed in a selective medium to the number of mutated colonies formed in a non-selective medium. Error bars (for CCTL14 and early passage CCTL12 only) represent the SEM of at least three biological replicates.

**FIG. 4.** MOX treatment increases both spontaneous and induced MFs in hESCs. Spontaneous (A) and IR-induced (3 Gy; B) MFs in three independent biological replicates (A, B, C) of the hESC line CCTL13 were measured using the HPRT assay. The MFs of MOX-treated cells (black bars) were compared with the MFs of their untreated counterparts (empty bars). The spontaneous and IR-induced MFs of MOX-treated cells were two- to fivefold higher in all the tested replicates compared with untreated cells. MOX, methoxamine.
1.2- to 2.5-fold higher than the MF of untreated control cells (Fig. 4B).

Although MOX is considered a specific inhibitor of APE1 [34], we have also developed a model of hESCs with siRNA-mediated depletion of APE1 (Fig. 5A). Consistent with the MOX treatment results, the downregulation of APE1 in the CCTL13 hESC line led to a 1.2- to 2.5-fold increase in spontaneous MF compared with mock-treated cells (Extreme; Fig. 5B). Similar results were obtained with the two other hESC lines, CCTL12 and CCTL14 (Fig. 5C).

MF in iPSCs reaches the level of differentiated cells

Based on published studies, it is well known that iPSCs exhibit higher genomic instability than hESCs (see “Introduction” section), although the source of the instability (eg, previous life and reprogramming vs. current mutagenic pressure) remains to be identified. Surprisingly, our data showed similarly high loads (no significant difference) of spontaneous mutations in iPSCs (14.86 ± 5.32 × 10⁻⁵; Fig. 6) and in late-passage (16.57 ± 2.85 × 10⁻⁵) or differentiated cells (13.94 ± 1.62 × 10⁻⁵), whereas the MF values were one order of magnitude lower in early passage hESCs (1.73 ± 0.14 × 10⁻⁵; Fig. 6A).

Even more surprisingly, induction by IR (3 Gy) led to an approximately fourfold higher induction in the MF in late-passage hESCs (260.66 ± 67.37 × 10⁻⁵; Fig. 6A) compared not only with early passage hESCs (62.2 ± 9.32 × 10⁻⁵) but also with iPSCs (61.8 ± 15.32 × 10⁻⁵) and differentiated cells (77.77 ± 11.14 × 10⁻⁵). In contrast to the spontaneous MF, the induced MF of iPSCs did not differ significantly from those of early passage or differentiated cells (Fig. 6A). Multiple iPSC lines were examined using the HPRT assay to determine whether this response is characteristic of iPSCs or is a property of a particular cell line. The spontaneous MF of iPSCs ranged from 7 to 25 × 10⁻⁵ (one order of magnitude higher than in early hESCs), whereas IR of 0.5 Gy led to an ~1.3- to 1.5-fold increase, and irradiation with 3 Gy led to a 3.5- to 6.5-fold increase in the MF (Fig. 6B). Despite certain variability among biological replicates, the overall effect of IR on MF was consistent among all the tested cell lines, indicating that the HPRT assay is suitable for assessing mutation pressure in iPSCs.

Discussion

Although the genomic changes at the chromosomal level that are acquired during the process of adaptation to in vitro conditions in hESCs and during reprogramming in iPSCs are well described, the effects of adaptation on the hallmark of genomic stability, that is, the MF, particularly its dynamics, remain unclear. The current methods of assessing genomic stability in hESCs and iPSCs, which are based on CNVs, single nucleotide polymorphisms, and LOH analysis [8,12,14,17,18] and are used to analyze the frequency of mutations/changes, reveal numbers of mutations acquired throughout the previous life of the cell/culture/organism unless analyzed repeatedly. Reporter gene-based assays, in contrast, enable the measurement of not only the sum of preexisting and current mutations but also the actual MR (under special conditions), which refers to mutations acquired during the course of the experiment. To avoid the presence of
ences were detected after a prolonged time in culture of differentiated cells, and iPSCs (the values are the mean ± SEM, n = 3; *P ≤ 0.05 vs. 3 Gy late-passage hESCs). No differences were detected after a prolonged time in culture (c11, passages p40, p74, and p76 and MDMD2Se, passage p28; B) or between different iPSC lines (c11 and MDMD2Se, B).

The spontaneous MF of the early passage hESCs was one order of magnitude lower than the MFs of the other analyzed cell lines. The induced (IR: 3 Gy) MF of late-passage hESCs was significantly higher than the MFs of early passage hESCs, whereas late-passage hESCs differ significantly upon induction from all tested cell lines. The induced (IR: 3 Gy) MF of late-passage hESCs was significantly higher than the MFs of early passage hESCs, differentiated cells, and iPSCs (the values are the mean ± SEM, n = 3; *P ≤ 0.05 vs. 3 Gy late-passage hESCs). No differences were detected after a prolonged time in culture (c11, passages p40, p74, and p76 and MDMD2Se, passage p28; B) or between different iPSC lines (c11 and MDMD2Se, B).

FIG. 6. Similar levels of spontaneous MF in late-passage hESCs and in iPSCs and differentiated cells are significantly higher than in early passage hESCs, whereas late-passage hESCs differ significantly upon induction from all tested cell lines. The MFs of early passage hESCs (Early hESC: empty bars), late-passage hESCs (Late passage: gray bars), iPSCs (iPSCs: dark gray bars), and differentiated cells (Differentiated cells: black bars) were compared using the HPRT assay (A).

Preexisting mutants in reporter gene assays based on mutagenesis of the HPRT locus, the preselection of hESCs in HAT-containing media can be used to eradicate cells defective in HPRT activity [22]. Therefore, the HPRT assay provides a more accurate assessment of genomic stability in these cells because it reflects the capacity for the maintenance of genomic stability mechanisms in cells, rather than a view of mutations accumulated during the previous life of the cells. However, the distribution of mutants across the whole genome is not uniform [35] and the HPRT assay relies only on the frequency of mutations at the HPRT locus, which biases global mutagenesis data rendering MF data comparable only among other HPRT-based studies. The HPRT assay detected trends between hESCs and iPSCs and between adapted and nonadapted hESCs that were consistent with reports of increase in CNVs in iPSCs [13] and increase in LOH in hESCs [12]. Our results also demonstrated a correlation between an increased MF and karyotypic changes in adapted hESCs.

Although the HAT treatment was effective in suppressing the growth of mutated hESCs (Supplementary Fig. S3), it did not significantly alter the MF measured in the cells investigated in this study (Fig. 1C), suggesting a growth advantage of the unmutated HPRT locus in the hESC population, and thus, a low prevalence of HPRT mutants in the hESC population. It is thus possible, under standardized conditions, to omit the HAT-containing medium treatment without affecting the resulting MF.

To date, the use of the HPRT assay has been reported in male mESCs only [22]. The HPRT locus is located on the X chromosome, and controversy exists regarding X chromosome inactivation in hESCs [36,37] and iPSCs [38]. It appears that the X chromosome inactivation in hESCs may depend on the cell line, subculture, and passage number [39–42]. The X chromosome has been reported in both the inactive form [43–47] and as two active copies [48,49]. The use of female cell lines with varying levels of X chromosome inactivation might thus affect the HPRT assay results. Our MF data clearly showed that the MFs in both male (CCTL13) and female cell lines (CCTL14 and CCTL12; Fig. 2A) reached the range of 10⁻⁵, whereas if both loci were active, the MFs would have to reach a level of 10⁻¹⁰. We thus propose two possible scenarios. Either the level of X chromosome inactivation in hESCs demonstrated by a 5- to 30-fold increase in XIST expression in female pluripotent stem cell lines compared with male lines (Fig. 2B) was sufficient to produce only one active copy of the HPRT locus or the X chromosome was inactivated during 6-TG treatment, as demonstrated by its H3K27 methylation pattern (Fig. 2C).

The current model suggests a constant rate of de novo mutations throughout a prolonged culture period [50]; nevertheless, our data clearly showed a dramatic increase in MF upon prolonged cultivation in vitro (Fig. 3). The increase in MF was also associated with signs of adaptation, such as accelerated growth, cell cycle distribution, and the presence of CD30 (Supplementary Fig. S2). The associations among time in culture, adaptation, and increased MF suggest that adapted cells experience an increased DNA damage rate, decreased checkpoint sensitivity, and/or decreased DNA repair and maintenance activity. Although our study showed no signs of genomic destabilization in late-passage iPSCs, this finding may have been due to the range of tested iPSC passages, which was smaller than that of hESCs. The demonstration of differences in the APE1 locus might shed light on the differences in MFs; however, the available epigenetic data on hESC adaptation are scarce. Nevertheless, Tompkins et al. detected epigenetic changes in genes involved in DNA repair pathways, particularly in BER; they found uracil-N-glycosylase to be differentially regulated in adapted hESCs [51]. Moreover, the dose of reprogramming factors appears to affect CNVs [52], indicating a connection between epigenetics and genomic stability. Data on the differential epigenetic regulation of hESCs and iPSCs are somewhat controversial; the most recent comparison did not find any differences between isogenic hESCs and iPSCs [53,54], whereas others reported abnormalities in iPSC epigenomes [13,14,17,55,56]. Differences could also arise from iPSC epigenetic memory [48,57]. It is thus impossible to form any conclusions regarding the differential epigenetic regulation of the APE1 locus.

The data presented herein answer the question concerning whether the changes in the level of MF are the consequence
of decreases in DNA maintenance associated with long-term cultivation [30] or whether other mechanisms to increase MF and the high MF-associated mutations are the reason for the DNA maintenance decrease in hESCs. Considering that similar effects of increases in MF up to 50% were achieved with the inhibition of APE1 in hESCs (MOX or anti-APE1 siRNA; Figs. 5 and 6), it appears rather likely that the increase in MF upon long-term cultivation is at least partially associated with the inhibition of BER activity. Similar effects were observed in iPSCs even as early as ~20 passages after reprogramming. The elevated MF in iPSCs corresponds to differentiated counterparts in both the spontaneous and induced MFs, rendering mutation-induced inhibition unlikely and suggesting the presence of a genomic stability maintenance mechanism in iPSCs that has not been reprogrammed, or at least not as efficiently as in hESCs. Surprisingly, despite the equal levels of spontaneous MF in late-passage hESCs, iPSCs, and differentiated cells, both iPSCs and differentiated cells had significantly lower induced MF burdens compared with hESCs. That finding suggests the presence of inducible DNA maintenance mechanisms in iPSCs and differentiated cells, whereas no induction was observed in late-passage hESCs. Further, despite published data to the contrary, the MF in iPSCs was relatively high compared with hESCs, suggesting that mutations are acquired not only during and soon after reprogramming (reviewed in Hussein et al. [58]); instead, the reprogramming most likely did not completely reactivate all the DNA maintenance mechanisms in iPSCs and thus the rate (slope of MF burden) was more rapid in the iPSCs (Fig. 6A) [59].

The MF increase upon BER/APE1 inhibition/downregulation was somewhat surprising considering that hESCs should possess other mechanisms to prevent such behavior. In a simplistic view, the increase in base damage upon the inhibition of BER should be still safely ameliorated by other means of repair or defense because it has been shown that hESCs possess a functional G1/S checkpoint [60] and elevated levels of mismatch repair [61]), homologous recombination (HR) [62–66], UV sensitivity, coupled nucleotide excision repair [60,67,68], and nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) [69]). Even if all these mechanisms fail, the combination of replication stress and the subsequent replication collapse caused by an excess of DNA lesions should induce mitotic catastrophe and prevent mutations [70]. We can only hypothesize that either (1) a limited number of lesions was not recognized and did not trigger a replication collapse or (2) error-prone repair mechanisms, such as NHEJ, were employed to eliminate the excess DNA damage. Such a scenario is very likely because it is similar to what occurs in other cell types, such as Xenopus oocytes [71]. Moreover, it also has been shown that collapsed replication forks can be repaired by error-prone HR events [72].

Interestingly, BER appears to be important not only for the amelioration of base damage but also to trigger checkpoint control mechanisms by releasing double-stranded breaks as BER-mediated clustered damage repair intermediates [30]. Thus, BER failure not only increases the base damage burden but also renders checkpoints less sensitive.

The discrepancy between the dramatic elevation in the MF in late-passage hESCs and the somewhat mediocre elevation upon APE1 inhibition compared with early passage hESCs (Figs. 3–5) suggests the existence of other mechanisms contributing to genomic instability in late-passage hESCs, such as changes in the activities of HR and NHEJ or in the sensitivity of checkpoints. The absence of a dramatic increase in MF in late-passage iPSCs and the lower level of induced MF in iPSCs, comparable to that in early hESCs (Fig. 6), sustains the hope that such a mechanism can be upregulated in late-passage hESCs, perhaps by the induction of APE1 or another mechanism. Discovering such mechanisms in late-passage hESCs and targeting them by genetic or chemical interventions would enable prolonged cultivation without an increase in MF. Unfortunately, such mechanisms have yet to be discovered.
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